

POTENTIAL STRAIN OF *TRICHODERMA* SPP. TO CONTROL DAMPING OFF OF DISEASE IN CHILLI

S.Gomathi, V.Ambikapathy and A.Pannerselvam

P.G and Research Department of Botany and Microbiology, A.V.V.M.Sri Pushpam College (Autonomous), Poondi-613503, Thanjavur, TamilNadu(India).

ABSTRACT: The present investigation deals with the population of soil fungi in chilli field of Thiruvarur District. The antibiotic ability and potential strain of *Trichoderma* spp. isolated from chilli field soil were used against damping off disease and its tolerance to antibiotics. Among the soil fungi, tested *Trichoderma* spp. showed maximum activity in controlling the disease with influence of soil physico-chemical parameters. The antibiotic interactions of some soil fungi against *Pythium debaryanum* were studied *in vitro* dual culture experiments. All the *Trichoderma* spp. Showed the ability to inhibit the pathogen, some of the species showed variability in the percentage of inhibition were studied.

Keywords - Biocontrol, *Trichoderma* spp., Damping off, *Pythium debaryanum*

INTRODUCTION

Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L) is an important spice crop grown all over the world. *Pythium debaryanum* is causal organism causing damping off of disease in chilli. *Pythium* species are fungal -like organisms (oomycetes), commonly referred soil and water as saprophytes, feeding on organic matter. Some *Pythium* species can cause serious diseases on green house vegetable crops resulting in significant crop losses. *Pythium* infection leads to damping off in seedlings and crown and root rot in older plants. The genus *Pythium* is a complex genus containing over 200 described species that occupy a variety of terrestrial and aquatic ecological habitats (Dick,2001), perhaps the most economically important members of this genus are plant pathogens (Hendrix and Campbell, 1973), many of which have a broad host range and cause losses by both pre – and post –emergence damping – off (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996), as well as by reduction in plant growth and yield due to root rot (Plaats – Niterink,1918). *Pythium* species are soil borne plant pathogenic fungi, which causes seed rot and damping–off of diseases in many crops including chilli and tomato (shan-smith and burns, 1996) with increased concern about pesticide hazards innovative method of disease control like bio-control are under investigations (Parke *et al.*,1991; Mariappan, 1995). The antagonists such as *Trichoderma* (Rajan *et al.*,2002), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Elad and Chet,1987) and native isolates have been successfully used for the biocontrol of damping-off disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS**Collection of Soil Sample**

The soil sample were collected from various Taluk of Thiruvarur District, TamilNadu during 2009 - 2010 (Thiruvarur, Nannilam, Kudavasal, Valangaiman, Mannargudi, Needamangalam, ThiruthuraiPoondi). Physico-chemical properties of soil were analyzed and recorded. Samples were collected from the chilli at random from the top layer of each location and brought to the laboratory and stored for further study.

Samples were also taken from each site and analyzed the physico-chemical parameters such as pH, Electrical conductivity, Organic carbon, organic matter Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium,Zinc, Copper,Iron, and Manganese.

Isolation of Fungi From Soil

Ten gram of the soil sample was taken in a 250ml conical flask containing 100ml sterile distilled water. The flask was shaken in an electric shaker to get a homogenous suspension and different dilution of the soil sample Viz, 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} and 10^{-3} were prepared by transferring serially about 10ml of the soil suspension to about 90ml of sterile distilled water. One ml of 10^{-3} dilution was plated in petridishes containing Potato dextrose agar medium. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.6 streptomycin sulphate (100mg/l) was added to the media to prevent the bacterial growth. The plate was incubated at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ for four days and the fungal colonies appearing on the Potato dextrose agar media and colonies were recorded.

$$\text{Population of Fungi } g^{-1} \text{ dry wt. of the soil} = \frac{\text{Mean no. of propagules in dilute plate}}{\text{Weight of the dry soil}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Percentage No. of soil samples from which frequency} = \frac{\text{fungi were recorded}}{\text{Total no. of soil sample}} \times 100$$

The fungi were identified by using manuals such as Manual of soil fungi (Gillman, 1957), Dematiaceous Hypomycetes (Ellis, 1971), More Dematiaceous hypomycetes (Ellis, 1976), Hypomycetes (Subramanian, 1971).

Test Organism

Pythium debaryanum R. Hesse (1874)

The fungus *Pythium debaryanum* parasite on chilli and it survives saprophytically in the soil. The pathogen was isolated from chilli seeds were sown thickly in pots under green house conditions. After sowing, the pots were kept under shade and watered daily to favour the incidence of damping-off diseases. After 14 days, seedlings showing damping-off symptom were collected and the pathogen was isolated by tissue segment method (Rangaswamy, 1958) on potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) under laboratory conditions. It was purified by single hyphal tip method and maintained in potato dextrose agar slants.

Dual Culture Method

Colony interaction between the test pathogen and the seven strains of *Trichoderma* spp. were studied with dual plate technique under *in vitro* condition.

The test pathogen *Pythium debaryanum* and the antagonist *Trichoderma* spp. were grown separately on PDA medium. The agar block cut from the actively growing margin of the individual strains of seven *Trichoderma* spp. and test organism were inoculated juxtaposed to each other approximately 3cm apart. The colony interactions between the test pathogen and the antagonistic fungi were assessed following the method proposed by Dickinson and Broadman, (1971) five types of interaction grades as proposed by Skidmore and Dickinson, (1976) have been used.

Though the fungal species are cosmopolitan in distribution, their population in the particular habit, change is due to fluctuation in the Physico-chemical parameters. In the present study Physico-chemical parameters of the soil samples like pH, Electrical conductivity, Organic carbon, Organic matter, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Zinc, Copper Iron and Manganese were analysed (Table 1).

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of different area of Thiruvavur District chilly field soil samples (Apr 2009-Nov 2010).

| STATION | Name of the parameter | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Thiruvavur | pH | 7.32 | 7.46 | 7.52 | 7.98 | 8.26 | 7.45 | 7.68 | 7.62 |
| | Electrical conductivity (dsm-1) | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.23 | 0.95 | 1.26 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| | Organic carbon (%) | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.39 |
| | Organic matter (%) | 0.31 | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.77 | 0.67 |
| | Nitrogen (Kg/ac) | 86.2 | 92.1 | 96.3 | 110.2 | 126.3 | 142.6 | 109.2 | 122.3 |
| | Phosphorus (Kg/ac) | 3.13 | 3.21 | 3.25 | 4.56 | 4.69 | 4.58 | 5.25 | 4.50 |
| | Potassium (Kg/ac) | 132 | 121 | 111 | 14.5 | 163 | 14.5 | 180 | 179 |
| | Zinc (ppm) | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.63 | 0.96 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.19 | 1.12 |
| | Copper (ppm) | 0.68 | 0.41 | 0.85 | 1.26 | 1.23 | 1.36 | 1.12 | 1.26 |
| | Iron (ppm) | 2.41 | 2.58 | 2.65 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.69 | 9.58 | 9.63 |
| Manganese (ppm) | 1.38 | 1.58 | 1.69 | 2.69 | 3.15 | 3.68 | 3.25 | 3.56 | |
| Mannilam | pH | 7.31 | 7.46 | 7.45 | 7.89 | 8.15 | 7.56 | 7.69 | 7.56 |
| | Electrical conductivity (dsm-1) | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.87 | 1.15 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.22 |
| | Organic carbon (%) | 0.26 | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.48 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.30 |
| | Organic matter (%) | 0.44 | 0.72 | 0.44 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.51 |
| | Nitrogen (Kg/ac) | 97.4 | 98.1 | 98.5 | 114.6 | 112.3 | 128.9 | 112.5 | 110.5 |
| | Phosphorus (Kg/ac) | 3.45 | 3.56 | 3.65 | 4.26 | 4.58 | 4.96 | 4.50 | 4.25 |
| | Potassium (Kg/ac) | 102 | 116 | 112 | 142 | 158 | 163 | 165 | 163 |
| | Zinc (ppm) | 0.32 | 0.43 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 1.56 | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.08 |
| | Copper (ppm) | 0.71 | 0.41 | 0.68 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.52 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| | Iron (ppm) | 2.36 | 4.22 | 2.48 | 4.26 | 4.29 | 4.35 | 8.75 | 8.49 |
| Manganese (ppm) | 1.37 | 1.45 | 1.57 | 2.56 | 3.65 | 3.62 | 3.45 | 3.26 | |
| Kudavasal | pH | 7.18 | 7.36 | 7.36 | 8.15 | 8.69 | 8.16 | 8.06 | 7.98 |
| | Electrical conductivity (dsm-1) | 0.32 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 1.36 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.36 |
| | Organic carbon (%) | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.52 | 0.58 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.35 |
| | Organic matter (%) | 0.49 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.89 | 0.99 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.60 |
| | Nitrogen (Kg/ac) | 93.8 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 123.5 | 126.8 | 136.5 | 110.4 | 106.3 |
| | Phosphorus (Kg/ac) | 3.30 | 3.45 | 3.48 | 4.36 | 4.26 | 4.78 | 5.36 | 3.75 |
| | Potassium (Kg/ac) | 112 | 132 | 113 | 152 | 149 | 152 | 180 | 182 |
| | Zinc (ppm) | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.65 | 1.06 | 1.45 | 1.06 | 1.36 | 1.26 |
| | Copper (ppm) | 0.47 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 1.15 | 1.48 | 1.45 | 1.26 | 1.23 |
| | Iron (ppm) | 2.45 | 4.12 | 2.65 | 4.21 | 4.36 | 4.28 | 8.46 | 8.78 |
| Manganese (ppm) | 1.29 | 2.34 | 1.49 | 2.54 | 3.45 | 3.18 | 3.25 | 3.54 | |
| Valangaiman | pH | 7.39 | 7.56 | 7.59 | 8.26 | 8.45 | 7.52 | 7.49 | 7.46 |
| | Electrical conductivity (dsm-1) | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.89 | 1.25 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.45 |
| | Organic carbon (%) | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.24 | 0.42 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| | Organic matter (%) | 0.41 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.55 |
| | Nitrogen (Kg/ac) | 85.3 | 95.3 | 84.5 | 126.5 | 136.5 | 132.5 | 116.3 | 114.2 |
| | Phosphorus (Kg/ac) | 3.75 | 4.75 | 3.15 | 4.23 | 4.19 | 4.51 | 4.25 | 4.0 |
| | Potassium (Kg/ac) | 143 | 153 | 118 | 146 | 156 | 148 | 160 | 156 |
| | Zinc (ppm) | 0.37 | 1.15 | 0.67 | 1.12 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.23 | 1.12 |
| | Copper (ppm) | 0.69 | 1.24 | 0.79 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.32 | 1.20 | 1.24 |
| | Iron (ppm) | 2.18 | 9.13 | 2.48 | 4.36 | 4.18 | 4.67 | 9.50 | 9.56 |
| Manganese (ppm) | 1.32 | 2.35 | 1.52 | 2.65 | 3.29 | 3.59 | 3.82 | 3.89 | |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present investigation a total of 30 species of soil fungi were isolated from the soil sample (Table 2). The maximum number of fungal isolates were recorded in Mannargudi (20) followed by Thiruvavur (18) followed by Kudavasal and Nannilam (15), Valangaiman (13), Needamangalam(12) and Thiruthuraiipoondi (10). All these 30 species are belonged to (15) genera. Colony interaction between *Pythium debaryanum* and some soil fungi were studied.

Antagonism consists of three types of activity, i.e. Antibiosis, Competition and parasitism (Baker & Cook, 1974). *Trichoderma viride* activity parasitic on *Rhizoctonia solani* and other organism (Wood and Tveit, 1955).

According to Garrett the inherent competitive saprophytic ability of fungus is determined by (a) growth rate (b) antibiotic production (c) tolerance to antibiotics produced by the antagonistic fungi and (d) the enzyme producing ability.

Antagonistic activity of *Aspergillus* species *Penicillium* species and *Trichoderma* species against *Pythium debaryanum* were studied by *in vitro* dual culture experiment. All the species of *Trichoderma* showed the ability to inhibit the pathogen. But these species showed variability in the percentage of inhibition.

Aspergillus flavus, *A. fumigatus*, *A. niger*, *A. sydowi*, *A. sulphureus*, *Penicillium* sp and three biocontrol agents namely *Trichoderma harzianum*, *T. koeningii* and *T. viride* were tested against one plant pathogen namely *Pythium debaryanum*.

Table 2. Total number of fungal population isolated from various Taluks of Thiruvarur District during (Apr 2009-Nov 2010).

| Sampling stations | Month | Total number of fungal spp. | Number of fungal isolates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|---|
| | | | Act | Asp | Cha | Cun | Cur | Fus | Hel | Muc | Nect | Pen. | Py. | Rhiz | Sco | Tri | Ver | |
| Thiruvarur | Apr | 14 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| | May | 12 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | |
| | June | 12 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 1 | |
| | July | 12 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | |
| | Aug | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | |
| | Sep | 11 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | |
| | Oct | 14 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | - | |
| | Nov | 15 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | |
| | Narrahm | Apr | 9 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | |
| | | May | 9 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | |
| June | | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | | |
| July | | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | | |
| Aug | | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Sep | | 9 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | | |
| Oct | | 10 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Nov | | 10 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | |
| Kadavasil | | Apr | 11 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| | | May | 8 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| | June | 10 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | |
| | July | 8 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | |
| | Aug | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | |
| | Sep | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | |
| | Oct | 11 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | - | |
| | Nov | 9 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | |
| | Valangulam | Apr | 7 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | |
| | | May | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | |
| June | | 5 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | | |
| July | | 13 | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | | |
| Aug | | 6 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | | |
| Sep | | 6 | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | | |
| Oct | | 7 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | | |
| Nov | | 8 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | | |
| Mannargudi | | Apr | 14 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| | | May | 11 | - | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| | June | 12 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | |
| | July | 12 | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Aug | 12 | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | - | |
| | Sep | 12 | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Oct | 15 | - | 7 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | |
| | Nov | 13 | - | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Needhivanur | Apr | 12 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| | | May | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| June | | 6 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| July | | 11 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | |
| Aug | | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | |
| Sep | | 7 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | |
| Oct | | 10 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | |
| Nov | | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | |
| Thimmaripoondi | | Apr | 12 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - |
| | | May | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - |
| | June | 10 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | - | |
| | July | 6 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| | Aug | 5 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | |
| | Sep | 10 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | |
| | Oct | 10 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | - | |
| | Nov | 7 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | |

Table 1. Colony interaction between *Pythium debaryanum* and Soil fungi

| S.NO | Growth response of the antagonist and test fungus | Antagonistic fungi tested | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | <i>A. fla</i> | <i>A. fum</i> | <i>A. nig</i> | <i>A. sul</i> | <i>A. syd</i> | <i>Penicillium sp.</i> | <i>T. har</i> | <i>T. koe</i> | <i>T. vir</i> |
| 1 | Colony growth of the pathogen towards antagonist (mm) | 26 | 27 | 26 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 16 | 18 | 19 |
| 2 | Colony growth of the pathogen away from the antagonist (mm) | 23 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 24 | 21 | 23 |
| 3 | % growth inhibition of the pathogen in the zone of the interaction (mm) | 45.8 | 43.7 | 45.8 | 58.3 | 56.2 | 52.0 | 66.6 | 62.5 | 60.4 |
| 4 | % Colony growth of the antagonist in control ie. Growth towards the centre of the plate in the absence of the pathogen (mm) | 38 | 36 | 40 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 43 | 41 | 40 |
| 5 | Colony growth of the antagonist away from the pathogen (mm) | 28 | 21 | 19 | 24 | 27 | 25 | 38 | 35 | 32 |
| 6 | % growth of inhibition in the zone of interaction | 26.3 | 41.6 | 52.5 | 31.4 | 20.5 | 24.2 | 11.6 | 14.6 | 20 |

A. fla - *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. fum* - *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *A. nig* - *Aspergillus niger*,
A. sul - *Aspergillus sulphureus*, *A. syd* - *Aspergillus sydowi*, *Penicillium sp* - *Penicillium species*
T. har - *Trichoderma harzianum*, *T. koe* - *Trichoderma koeningii*, *T. vir* - *Trichoderma viride*

REFERENCES

1. A. Muthukumar, A. Eswaran and Sanjeev kumar 2008. Biological Control of *Pythium aphanidermatum* (Edson). *Fitz.Mysore J.Agric.Sci.*, 42 (1) : 20-25.
2. Baker, K.F and R.J. Cook. 1974. Biological Control of plant Pathogen. W.H.Freeman and company. San Francisco,U.S.A. 433 P.
3. Buher, E.E.1957. *Rhizoctonia solani* as a parasite of fungi. *Mycologia* 49.354-373.
4. Dick, M. W (2001). The peronosporomyletes In : the mycota VII part A. Systematics Evolution (eds. D. j. McLaughlin, E.G. McLaughlin and P.A. Lemke), springer verlag , Berlin:39-72.
5. Dickinson, C.H. and F.Broadman,F. 1971. *Trans Br.Mycol.soc.*, 55 : 293-305.
6. Dumitars, L. and Fratilescu-Sesen 1979. Aspects of the antagonism of *T.viride* pers. to *Pythium debaryanum*. *Hesse Biologie Vegetala*.31 : 63-67.
7. Elad,Y. And Chet, I., 1987. Possible role of competition for nutrient in biocontrol of *Pythium damping-off* by bacteria. *Phytopathol*, 77 : 190 – 195.
8. Ellis, M.B., 1971. More Demataceous Hypomycetes, Common wealth Mycological Institute. Pub. kew, survey., England.
9. Ellis,M.B, 1971. Dematiaceous Hypomycetes, Common Wealth Mycological Institute pub. Kew, survey, England.

10. Erwin, D.C. and Ribeiro, O.K.(1996). Phytophthora Diseases worldwide. *The American Phytopathological society*, st paul, MN.
11. Garrett, S.D., 1975. *Soil Biochem*,7: 323-327
12. Garrett, S.D., 1956. Biology of Root infecting fungi, PP.294, Cambridge university press, New York.
13. Gillman, J.C., 1957. A manual of soil fungi, p.250, Revised 2nd edition and IBH. Publishing Company (Indian Reprint), Calcutta, Bombay, New Delhi.
14. Hendrix, F.F and Campbell, W.(1973). Pythium as plant pathogens. *Annual Review of phytopathology* 11:78 – 98.
15. P. Madhanraj, V. Ambikapathy and A. Pannerselvam, 2009. Potential strain of *T.viride* to control brown spot disease in rice *Indian J.Applied & pure bio*.vol24(1).51-57.
16. Parke, J.T., Rand R.E., Joy, A.E. and King, F.B., 1991. Biological Control of *Pythium* Damping-off and aphanomyces root rot of peas by application of *Pseudomonas cepacia* (or) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* to seed. *Plant disease*, 75 : 987 – 992.
17. Plaats – Niterink, A. J. Vander(1981). Monograph of the genus *Pythium* Studies in Mycology 21:1 – 242.
18. Porter, C.L., 1924. *Am.J.Bot.*, 11 : 168-188.
19. Rajan., P.P., Sarma, Y.R. and Anandaraj, M., 2002. Management of foot rot disease of black pepper with *Trichoderma* spp. *Indian phytopathol* 55 : 34 – 38.
20. Rangaswamy, G., 1958. Diseases of crop plants in India, prentice hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, P-504.
21. Shah smith, D.A. and Burns. R.G., 1996. Biological control of damping-off of sugar beet by *Pseudomonas putida* applied to seed pellets. *Plant pathol.* 45 : 572-582.
22. Subramanian, C.V. 1971. Hypomyces I.C.A.R. Publication, New Delhi.